plication No. (if known): 09/677,446

Attorney Docket No.: 06727/100H144-US1

## **Certificate of Express Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail, Airbill No. in an envelope addressed to:

EV330172957-US

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on April **8**, 2005

Date

Signature

Signature

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate


Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Amendment in Response to Final Office Action (5 pages) Amendment Transmittal (1 page) APR 0 8 2005

## 04.11.05



AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER					Docket No. 06727/100H144-US1	
Application No. 09/677,446		Filing Date September 29, 2000		Examiner Sajeda Muhebb	r Art Unit	
Applicant(s): Zoh	ar Sivan et al.					
INVONTION:	ENT SEGMEN SEQUENCES		DETECTION	N OF REPRESENTA	ATIVE FRA	AMES IN
TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS						
Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.						
The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.  CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	Claims Remaining After Amendment	Highest Number Previously Paid	Number Extra Claims Present			
Total Claims	23	- 23 =		X		
Independent Claims	3	- 3 =		X		
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)						
Other fee (please specify):						
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT:					0.00	
x Large Entity				Small Entity		
x No additional fee is required for this amendment.						
Please charge Deposit Account No in the amount of \$ · A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						
A check in the amount of \$ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.						
Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached.						
The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No04-0100 as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.						
x Credit any overpayment.						
x Charge any additional filing or application processing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17.						
S. Peter Ludwig Dated: April 7, 2005						2005
Attorney Reg. No.: 25,351  DARBY & DARBY P.C.  P.O. Box 5257  New York, New York 10150-5257  (212) 527-7770						
					·	
Express Mail Label No	). 	Dated:				



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Application of: SIVAN et al.

Serial No.: 09/677,446

Group Art Unit: 2174

Filed: September 29, 2000

Examiner: Sajeda Muhebbullah

For: SEGMENTATION AND DETECTION OF REPRESENTATIVE FRAMES

IN VIDEO SEQUENCES

## RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION

Honorable Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to an Official Action dated January 13, 2005, Applicant respectfully submits the following remarks. This application contains claims 1-23, all of which were rejected in the present Official Action. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks Examiners Pitaro and Kincaid for the courtesy of an interview granted to Applicant's representative, Daniel Kligler (Reg. No. 41,120), in the USPTO on March 17. At the interview, Applicant's representative explained the distinction of the present invention over Edgar et al. (U.S. Patent 5,537,530). Specifically, Applicant's representative pointed out that Edgar does not teach or suggest the use of a representative frame in automatically adding a second portion to